

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/991,795	11/23/2001	George Jackowski	2132.105	5610
21917 75	590 10/21/2003		EXAM	INER
MCHALE & 1 2855 PGA BLV	CHALE & SLAVIN, P.A. DAVIS, DEBORAH A SS PGA BI VD			BORAH A
	I GARDENS, FL 334	-10	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	Q
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/200	3)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/991,795	JACKOWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah A Davis	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 39-46</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-46 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
,— · · · · —		uisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	_AGITHIGI.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 25 LLC C	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority under 33 0.3.0.	§ 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
<u> </u>	nte have heen received		
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/991,795

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

 Applicant's cancellation of non-elected claims 2-38 and the addition of new claims 39-46 are acknowledged, but new claims require further restriction because they are independent and distinct inventions:
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 1 drawn to a biopolymer marker, comprising SEQ ID NO: 1, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
 - II. Claims 39-43, drawn to a method of diagnosing insulin resistance, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
 - III. Claims 44-46, drawn to a diagnostic assay kit, classified in class 436, subclass 808.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product of group I can be practiced with the diagnostic assay kit of group III.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

4. Inventions I and III are unrelated products. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case inventions I and II have different modes of operation. Invention I is drawn to a biopolymer marker comprising SEQ ID NO: 1; Invention III is drawn to a kit comprising components various samples and a solid support and an antibody. Therefore, each disclosed patentably distinct product is considered a separate invention.

- 5. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product of group III can be practiced with the Biopolymer marker of group I.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Please note that classifications in the restriction are illustrative only and do not represent all the classes and subclasses which must be searched for each invention; nor is the search limited to

Application/Control Number: 09/991,795

Art Unit: 1641

issued US patents, but rather includes foreign patents and applications as well as literature searches, therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated proper.

7. During a telephone conversation with Ferris Lander on 10-17-03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claim 1.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 39-46 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either specific, substantial or asserted utility or a well established utility. The claimed biopolymer marker peptide is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility because the specification fails to assert any utility for the peptide. The specification as filed does not disclose or provide any evidence that points to an activity for the peptide. Additionally, there is not art of record that discloses or suggests any activity for the claimed peptide such that any utility would be established for the peptide. While the specification discloses conventional protein isolation techniques, it does not include any working examples. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the utility would not be credible based on the

Application/Control Number: 09/991,795

Art Unit: 1641

evidence of record. A well established utility is a specific, substantial and credible utility which is well known, immediately apparent or implied by the specification's disclosure of the properties of a material, alone or taken with the knowledge of one skilled in the art. A well established utility is not any utility that one can dream up for an invention or a nonspecific utility that would obviously apply to virtually every member of a very general class of materials, such as protein or DNA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific or asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to *use* the claimed invention so that it would operate as intended without undue experimentation.

Conclusion

- 11. Claim 1 is free of the prior art.
- 12. No claims are allowed.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4427. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1123.

Deborah A. Davis

CM1, 7D16

October 16, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CLATER 1600